

From: Sent: Adam Taylor (adamt)

Thursday, November 11, 1993 11:21 AM

hradei

To: Subject:

RE: URGENT: WSJ- Ferengi article

You're right.

I'm working on getting the specific things that are broken. We've mentioned both versions of MS-DOS before, but I will make that clearer, along with the other disk compression vendors. We have been taking the less aggressive angle on royalty issues, as a ace in the hole when we finally decide how to attack. I will modify this to be more aggressive, but use only in press calls. I think it's safer for the field to be on the "no comment" type response. (that's what you'll see with comdex Q&A).

thx.

From: Brad Silverberg To: Adam Taylor

Subject: RE: URGENT: WSJ- Ferengi article Date: Thursday, November 11, 1993 12:17PM

i don't think this pitch is nearly compelling enough. we need to name broken apps, broken drivers!!!

also, why be so specific about msdos6.2? i've mentioned this to jonro many times yet it persists. by being specific, it's as if it's confined to the newest 6.2 release. it's not. it's msdos 6.0 and 6.2 -- anything using doublespace. not just that either, also stacker, and pcdos 6.1!

nor do you refute the royalty question. it's not all money in ibm's pocket, even in the most optimistic scenario to them!

|From: Adam Taylor |To: billp; bradsi; jonro

|Subject: RE: URGENT: WSJ-- Ferengi article |Date: Thursday, November 11, 1993 11:57AM

Here's the pitch we used yesterday with the press. This seemed to work well

thx.

I- OS/2 IS CLEARLY ON A WINDOWS CLONING STRATEGY. Evidence is the Idecision to call this product "OS/2 for Windows." But when you're in Ithe cloning business, you're constantly playing catchup. OS/2 has lalways been behind. IBM needed 18 months to get partial support for IWindows 3.1, but they still don't have support for VxDs or Windows Idevice drivers. Cloning huge support implications. Who will customers Icall when they have a problem?

I-NOW, IBM IS BEHIND ALL OVER AGAIN. OS/2 2.1 doesn't support WFW 3.11 land MS-DOS 6.2. They don't support the speed enhancements from WFW's l32-bit file access and network components. They don't work with DoubleSpace, or even PC-DOS 6.1 disk compression. WFW 3.11 will ship lon over 6 million PCs in the next 12 months, or over 30% of the Windows ltotal runrate. MS-DOS 6 has already shipped over 15 million units to ldate. OS/2 doesn't support all those PCs, which includes if the Dells land all the Gateways.

I- IBM'S TECHNLOGY TREADMILL GETS WORSE. In the future, OS/2 won't

MS-PCA 2608538

CONFIDENTIAL

support emerging technologies such as OLE2, Win32 and PnP. This means Ithey won't run all the new features of the latest Windows applications. llike Office 4.0, or the new generation of hardware what supports Plug and

I- CUSTOMERS HAVE CLEARLY DECIDED. Customers want to run Windows lapplications FAST. They want support for new applications and hardware Istandards. Windows ships over 1.5 million units every month and accounts for 99% of the market. Customers want Windows, even IBM has figured that out with their new product "OS/2 for Windows."

From: Bill Pope

To: Adam Taylor; Brad Silverberg; Jonathan Roberts

Subject: RE: URGENT: WSJ-- Ferengi article |Date: Thursday, November 11, 1993 12:55PM

Privilege Material Redacted

|From: Jonathan Roberts

ITo: Adam Taylor; Bill Pope; Brad Silverberg Subject: RE: URGENT: WSJ-- Ferengi article

Date: Mon, Nov 8, 1993 6:36PM

I've talked to Bobkr. He won't be responding. Joni or I will handle Itomorrow. I am also trying to get Steveb to possibly respond. Claire, Adam, and I will determine tonight at 6:30 if Steve should call.

Jonathan

IFrom: Brad Silverberg

To: Adam Taylor; Bill Pope; Jonathan Roberts Subject: FW: URGENT: WSJ-- Ferengi article Date: Monday, November 08, 1993 5:23PM

please handle

|From: wagged!or.wagged.com!colleenl

To: bobkr

Cc: PAMED; PAMK; CLAIRE; bradsi; collinsh; jefft; jonl; martyta; paulma

Subject: URGENT: WSJ-- Ferengi article Date: Monday, November 08, 1993 1:39PM

|Laurie Hayes--212/416-4369- is writing an article on IBM's Ferengi product land would like comment from Microsoft. Print date is scheduled for

Ithis week and we believe the journal will play it up.

Laurie has read articles in the trades, namely PC Week and understands there

lare potential legal issues with the product-- she mentioned JeffT's quote

IPC Week re: Ferengi running Windows apps as an example. She would like to

- |> Microsoft's reaction to IBM announcing this product
- > How this affects the MS/IBM relationship
- > If there's a chance Microsoft will sue
- > Whether there been discussions between MS and IBM regarding Ferengi specifically

MS-PCA 2608539 CONFIDENTIAL I today, if possible. Let us know how it goes. Thanks.

MS-PCA 2608540 CONFIDENTIAL