* Do we want to do a IBM only Slick for new HW? Makes sense to me.
This is straight forward using approach I've outlines for our OBM
Packaged Product (and the work to do this is only a minor
increment

over what we're already planning on doing for the generic packaged

product).

Lest there be objections, I intend to have Davebe start on ODM DOS Setup changes to support ODM Packaged Slick product as soon as some cycles free up (but lower priority than DOS 5.0a).

Comments?

Eric.

Good News! Thanks Brad.

>From bradsi Fri Sep 27 13:59:53 1991 To: lynner mackm Subject: david bernard

Date: Fri, 27 Sep 91 13:58:11 PDT

finally talked to him. he's going to try to come out end of next week. We are still a bit apart on salary, I didn't up it. I only want to do that once and close him on the spot. Hopefully that can happen next week.

Can we meet this week to get the first issue defined and initiated? I am out of town tomorrow, but back in for the rest of the week.

How does Wednesday look?

>From bradsi Sat Sep 28 13:08:11 1991
To: alexn ericst
Cc: davidool richt tomle
Subject: own weekly

Date: Sat, 28 Sep 91 13:05:57 PDT

would be nice to get this started and keep it going on a weekly basis. thanks.

heh, heh, heh....

my proposal is to have bambi refuse to run on this alien QS. comments?

MS-PCA 1179289 CONFIDENTIAL

MS 0098785 CONFIDENTIAL



>From chuckst Sun Sep 29 17:16:46 1991 To: mikedr philba scottg Subject: Bambi on DR-DOS 6.0 Date: Sun Sep 29 17:16:39 1991

I tracked down a serious incompatibility with DR-DOS 5 — They don't use the 'normal' device driver interface for >32M partitions. Instead of setting the regular START SECTOR field to Offfih and then using a brand new 32-bit field the way MS-DOS has always done, they simply extended the start sector field by 16 bits.

This seems like a foolish oversight on their part and will likely result in extensive incompatibilities when they try to run with 3rd part device drivers.

I've patched a version of Bambi to work with DRD6, and it seems to run Win 3.1 without difficulty. This same problem may have caused other problems with Win 3.1 and the swapfile under DRD6.

It is possible to make Bambi work, assuming we can come up with a reasonably safe method for detecting DRD6. The runtime hit would be minimal in time and space, although we would have a couple of instructions in the main code path for checking the 'special' DRD6 flag.

What do we think? Should we test further with the patched Bambi to see if there are any more incompatibilities????

hmm, putting 2 and 2 together. He has been around a lot lately.

Brad, FredE is Fred Einstein who wrote the original (and highly buggy) 8514 driver and is not held high regard by many windows developers.

>From davidw Sun Sep 29 21:20:00 1991 To: bradsi philba Subject: Intel/Dell Frame Buffer Windows Video Driver Date: Sun, 29 Sep 91 21:19:55 PDT

(i haven't gone through all of my email yet, but \ldots)

why does this have frede written all over it?

david

>From philba Fri Sep 27 09:47:43 1991 never heard of it.

>From bradsi Fri Sep 27 09:33:22 1991

know anything about this?

>From carls Fri Sep 27 09:26:40 1991

I just saw some email that Intel is having some problems with a Windows driver for the joint Intel/Dell video frame buffer. I don't know if this is for Windows generally or just for the Multi-media extensions. This is where Intel/Dell hired MCS to do the driver.

We are having an exec meeting at llam. It would be best if Billg and Bradsi understood the issues prior to llam so that we can respond to either complaints or requests for help. Please send mail on the status of this.

MS 0098786 CONFIDENTIAL

> MS-PCA 1179290 CONFIDENTIAL