

Erik Stevenson

From: Brad Silverberg
To: darbyw
Subject: RE: DOS Practices
Date: Wednesday, September 01, 1993 9:24AM

this is totally a red herring. yes, we put msdos detection code in a BETA -- it was to help tech support. the whole point is that it not be easily circumvented, otherwise it's useless. the code did not create any incompatibilities, nor is it active in the released version. the notion that somehow we can "turn it on" at any time is ridiculous. how? brain waves? if we can patch the exe to turn it on, we can certainly patch in lots of code...

From: darbyw
To: bradsi
Cc: darbyw
Subject: FW: DOS Practices
Date: Wednesday, September 01, 1993 8:55AM

Thanks, Brad. I don't think this addresses the issue of our encrypting the code... how do I explain that. I've got everything else programmed in my response.

Thanks.

Darby

From: bradsi Tue Aug 31 22:14:11 1993
To: darbyw
Subject: FW: DOS Practices
Date: Tue Aug 31 22:13:48 1993

se is that we have not ever intentionally made our produt incompatible with another.

the schulman article is extremely misleading. windows is d4esign and developed for ms-dos. we do not test on other systems nor can we verify the stability of windows running on anything other than msdos.

if dr dos were 100% compatible with msdos, as they claim, it would just run windows.