The new Dos waretam met today to discuss how to proceed in
developing a story against DR for Comdex. We all agree that we turned
up lots of info in our previous test that offered a lot of insight
and formed the basis of the story we want to tell but was disjoint.
We need to go further now and verify problems we think are significant.
Our primary goal in this meeting was to develop a way to use the
development and test resources in a focused way such that their
efforts have the highest probability of developing into a significant
story against DR. This effort, and the work items associated with it
are assumed to be everyone's highest priority.

We are going to work this as though the Product Marketing were
Authors writing a story, Program Management will be editors pointing
parts of the story they think the author missed and development and
test being the background researchers. Developers/Testers will have
two main tasks:
Verifying bugs/problems
Researching a feature
Product Marketing will
Take information at hand and information being developed and will
prioritize what can be developed into a good story and what can't.

The general focus areas for development will be:
Disk Compression
SuperPCQuick
DR's A20 Handling
Novell/DR environment
Undelete

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Items</th>
<th>Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Check with Legal on how close developers can get</td>
<td>TomLe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to DR-Dos before we have to shoot them.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prioritize the problems found in our in-house</td>
<td>RichF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>testing and on the DR CompuServe forum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan the Dos Bug database looking for stuff we</td>
<td>EricSt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>had to fix that DR probably didn't</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We will meet again tomorrow to go over progress

Thanks,
Tom
but we really need to be in the face of our oems a lot over the next
couple of months - lots of friendly visits.
please let me know if there are some oems where a visit from someone
on my team could help
thanks

john
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jobs and I visited CompuAdd on Tuesday, 1 October. Our objectives:
* Confirm whether the CompuAdd Express/DRI deal is inked.
  (It is.)
* Understand the decision process and why Microsoft was not
  informed of the opportunity. Express Microsoft's concern
  (?) about our partnership with CompuAdd.
* Identify next steps for regaining the business.

We had separate meetings with George Martin, Director of R&D (#2 man
to CEO Bill Hayden), and Rick Krause, newly appointed President of
ComputAdd Express. Rick negotiated the current agreement with
Microsoft. What we discovered:

The Decision Process & Partnership
CompuAdd Express is a totally separate company from CompuAdd. The
decision to go with DRI is final. We believe CompuAdd Express has
committed to a 25K volume at around $9/unit. The decision was made
in a very short time frame and solely by Rick Krause with approval
by Bill Hayden. Rick went with DRI because it is cheap (under
$10/unit), and it offers a way to differentiate (?) their systems
from both their competitors and CompuAdd. Rick didn't contact
Microsoft because he assumed he already knew what our best price was
based on the CompuAdd agreement negotiations, and he didn't see any
point in getting into a bidding war. During our meeting, it became
clear that Rick made this decision with no thought to key issues
such as CompuAdd's existing pre-paid balance (over $800K and
growing!!), added support costs and customer acceptance of DRI vs-
MS DOS. He assumed that he could provide MS-DOS on special request
by getting the MS-DOS via "distribution." He seemed surprised and
concerned to learn that MS-DOS is available only via an OEM license.

Our meeting with George Martin went well. We stated that MS
has previously considered CompuAdd a strategic partner, and that
the move to DRI makes us wonder whether there was a partnership
at all. "Why would a 'partner' not give us an opportunity to do
business?" We raised the issue of the pre-paid balance, and asked
why CompuAdd did not use this opportunity to reduce their
(non refundable) pre-paid balance.

We also brought to their attention that the market will not separate

"ComputAdd" from "ComputAdd Express"; any negative response to DRI
and/or the ComputAdd Express line will accrue to both
parties—ComputAdd *and* ComputAdd Express.

George Martin had no immediate response to either issue; he stated
that it had not been ComputAdd's intention to jeopardize the
relationship. He took notes.

Plans for Regaining the Business
1. Get Rick Krause's commitment to do these things:
   - Meet with me each time I visit CompuAdd (once per month or
     more).
Inform me of any press releases coupling DRI and CompuAddi Express.
Put me on their mailing list. I gained these experiments at the end of our meeting.

2 Explore these possibilities:
- Find a way for them to recoup what they have paid to DRI, then
  license with MS so that not a single DRI machine ever ships.
- Get MS-DOS on the higher-end machines, relegating DRI to 286 and lower machines. Contain DRI in that "box." This may be possible since their DRI agreement is based on a quantity-rather than a quantity over time-commitment.
- Convert them on their next catalog drop (March 1992).

3 Ensure that they are not playing both sides in a gray-market or piracy manner. I will call their sales line at least twice per month to confirm their selling methods.

I ask for suggestions from OD! management and account managers for additional ideas on how Microsoft can regain this business.

The bottom line: Microsoft has its work cut out to reverse this poorly considered (stupid) decision.

------------------------------- 500
From camerom Fri Oct  4 12:53:17 1991
To: bradsii
Subject: Re: Windows & OS/2 Conference
Date: Fri Oct 04 12:49:40 PDT 1991

Okay I will try and sign up Paul.

>From bradsii Fri Oct  4 11:21:26 1991
To: camerom
Subject: Re: Windows & OS/2 Conference
Date: Fri, 04 Oct 91 11:19:23 PDT

it is most likely that windows will not be shipped by the end of January so you are right. I would more likely be a target, while paul could shade more on win nt.

>From camerom Fri Oct  4 10:54:45 1991
To: bradsii
Subject: Re: Windows & OS/2 Conference
Date: Fri Oct 04 10:51:04 PDT 1991

You are right that it should be one of the two of you. I think either you would do a great job. Paul is not going to be any better than you are and you are more likable.

You are Mr. Windows!

If 3.1 is going to be later than this (very end of January) you might not want to present (as you may be more of a target) and maybe we want Paul to place a subtle emphasis on Windows NT (and off Win 3.1 delays), if we have shipped Windows 3.1 you should do it and be the "proud father."

Cam

>From bradsii Fri Oct  4 10:38:14 1991
To: camerom
Subject: Re: Windows & OS/2 Conference

either paulma or I should do it. which do you think would be preferable? paul is a more polished speaker than I am.