

"Yeah, I lied in my confirmation hearing. Yeah, I corrupted the USPTO. Yeah, I broke some glass. SO WHAT? What are ya gonna do about it? What are ya gonna do about it, huh?" Kappos glared.



DISBARMENT ACTION AGAINST DAVID KAPPOS

Cravath is being challenged to prosecute to a successful conclusion - success being disbarment - to the attached bar complaint against Kappos. Bill Clinton was disbarred, and, apparently, Rudy Guiliani has been, or soon might be, disbarred, so Kappos can be disbarred.

Rule Number One in the inventing world is: THE FIRST TO THE PATENT OFFICE WINS. Kappos coerces inventors - through his \$200 punishment known as the "Electronic Filing Incentive" in the America Invents Act - to pay to use Microsoft, and maybe Lenovo (IBM) - unless the inventor can pay even more to use Apple - thus intentionally delaying the inventor's efforts to be first to the Patent Office.

Kappos erected corrupt barriers to interstate commerce by denying inventors the freedom - except for the postage - to use a public service - the US Postal Service - so he must be disbarred, since, if you examine the attached form provided by the Attorney Grievance Committee of New York, you will see that they demand, in bold lettering, that a complainant must use the regular mail:

"send one copy of your complaint...by regular mail"

Kappos cannot be allowed to be a member of the legal profession when he denies inventors the freedom to use - except for the postage - a public service - the "regular mail" - when his own profession demands that it be used. As a patent attorney, using his power as Director of the US Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), he intentionally discriminated against and maliciously damaged inventors. Kappos's "Electronic Filing Incentive" forces inventors to pay \$200 to use the "regular mail" - the US Postal Service - unless the inventor pays to use a Microsoft product, or the inventor can manage to pay for a more expensive Apple product. And, since Kappos's "Electronic Filing Incentive" is still in force, he continues to discriminate against and damage inventors to this day.

Kappos bragged to Politico that he was "brought...here [the USPTO] to...break some glass." <https://www.politico>.

Broken glass creates injuries, or worse. Breaking glass is vandalism, or worse.

- New Yorkers know about falling broken glass on 911. And, New Yorkers know about the "broken window [glass] theory" that says that tolerating broken windows [glass] encourages more serious crimes. <https://en.wikipedia.org/>
- Jewish people know about Kristallnacht, and maybe they don't like the idea of somebody bragging about breaking glass in this country where they live.

KAPPOS, CRAVATH, MICROSOFT, AND LINKEDIN

I have e-mailed Kappos multiple times, and I e-mailed other people at Cravath, and I wrote to two of Microsoft's lobbyists in DC. What do you think happened? I got a few secretive looks on my LinkedIn account. LinkedIn is owned by Microsoft, so I guess they can do that.

When they looked at my LinkedIn page, I suppose they found nothing, except that I might have said that I was an inventor, or product designer, or something like that. So, obviously, they decided that I wasn't anybody to worry about, so they thought they could just let me suffer the damages Kappos created for me. If Kappos intentionally corrupts the patent application process, thus creating a cascade of complications with the corrupt and incompetent USPTO that costs an inventor thousands of dollars, Cravath and Microsoft don't care, because they know that the realities of the legal system are such that nothing can be done to them, and they certainly don't care about inventors. If Kappos doesn't care about inventors, why should they?

The LinkedIn page for James Love shows Harvard and Princeton <https://www>. and this is what he says about Kappos:

David Kappos' war on poor people, and his indifference to broader public interest reforms

[...]

I could go on, mentioning all sorts of grievances, but the ones that are the most important to me are those associated with the complete indifference the impact of his actions on people who are both sick and poor, and live in developing countries, and his long war against the WIPO treaty for copyright exceptions for blind people. When you attack cancer patients and blind people in poor countries, you are a person of pretty poor judgement and/or low moral standards.

Read the full article here: <https://www.keionline>.

Mr. Love made his observations as an outsider, but, as an inventor, I know that Kappos has the same attitude toward American inventors and the necessary improvements to the patent application process that would benefit American inventors.

PHONY CRAVATH AND MICROSOFT POSTURING ABOUT PRO BONO AND "PUBLIC SERVICE" AND CIVIL RIGHTS

Cravath brags about pro bono spending <https://www.cravath>. and "public service" <https://www.cravath>.

Funny: I suggested to Kappos that he resolve the problem he created for me at the USPTO pro bono - really, it would require little effort and money for Kappos and Cravath - but it seems that he thinks I'm a third-worlder, not deserving of a reply.

Of course, Cravath likes to tell people how much they spend on pro bono work, thus promoting themselves by saying that they make so much money that they can put on lavish displays in which they throw that money - in the form of overpriced legal services - at people who have little or no money. Of

course, this doesn't include resolving problems created by Kappos for the benefit of Microsoft, and, to a lesser extent, IBM/Lenovo.

My first awareness of "public service" was hearing Ted Kennedy speak about his love of public service, so I learned decades ago that it is just a term used by rich people to try to suggest innocent motives for them to sacrifice themselves to work on a government salary. George W. Bush used it, too, so it is bipartisan.

For Cravath, "public service" is contributing their maybe-\$500,000-or-more lawyers to the federal government, where they work for circa \$160,000, so as to make multi-million-dollar connections.

And, there is the Partnership for Public Service (PPS) <https://> , where Kappos - you know, the same Kappos who denies inventors the freedom to use a public service, the US Postal Service - is a board member <https://>, and, since Cravath is one of PPS's "in-kind partners" <https://> I suppose that means that Cravath pays Kappos and donates his "services" for free to PPS. Instead of giving them money, Cravath gives PPS a paid-for Kappos. Lucky them!

Then, there is Microsoft, who have really emphasized their devotion to civil rights, since their two top lobbyists <https://thehill.com/> - at least for the Democratic side - both have ties to Dr. King. Fred Humphries attended Morehouse College <https://www.meridian>. <https://www.linkedin>.

There would be thousands of candidates willing to shill for Microsoft, so Microsoft can be choosy. Apparently, the winning criteria for Microsoft - at least for the Democratic side of the aisle - is that all-important link to Dr. King. That is some very deep cynicism: so deep that it is beneath contempt.

Very impressive! Everybody at Cravath and Microsoft is so-SO-SO liberal - oops, "progressive" - and dedicated to "public service" and civil rights and caring and all that, and loaded with money so that Cravath can lavish pro bono services on people who have little or no money and have been wronged, and Microsoft - thanks to Bill Gates - can be perceived as being responsible for the billions the Gates Foundation spends on "causes;" but, they can't seem to be bothered to correct the wrongs done to inventors by Kappos on behalf of Microsoft, and, to a lesser extent, on behalf of IBM/Lenovo.

And, I'm sure, everybody at Cravath and Microsoft is thankful that American voters were able to use the US Postal Service to vote in the 2020 election, because the US Postal Service is a vital public service that should be available to be freely used - except for the postage - by EVERY American; except, of course, if you are an American inventor, who must pay Microsoft before they can file their patent application, thanks to Kappos. But, I guess, that's okay, because inventors have the option of paying even more to use an Apple, so, actually, Microsoft is doing inventors a favor, aren't they, by denying them the right to freely use - except for the postage - the public service that is the US Postal Service?

JUST THE BEGINNING

My fight with the USPTO began more than fifteen - yes, 15 - years ago, when the USPTO sent me false and misleading information, and I went through the normal bureaucratic niceties, not knowing the true nature of the USPTO, until, finally, a cheap-hack government lawyer at the USPTO told me that it was my problem, because I didn't read the Federal Register, and the fight was on, and it is still on.

Subsequently, Kappos installed one of his buddies, Bernie Knight, as General Counsel at the USPTO, and he failed to solve the problem, even though I spoke to him on the phone about it. Instead, he passed it down to another cheap-hack government lawyer who told me that the USPTO would never respond to me - an accounting-trained pro se inventor with decades of experience filing patent applications - thus making it official that the USPTO hates inventors, except for their fees.

The USPTO is a lying, corrupt, incompetent "government" organization that hates inventors - except for their fees - and my fight with them continues with the attached Report About the USPTO that has been circulated in DC.

Kappos corrupted the USPTO, and this corruption damaged me, personally, so a second front has been opened up in New York. Fifteen more years, if necessary.

RESOLUTIONS

Kappos must be disbarred.

Pro bono "public service"-posturing Cravath must take all necessary actions to force Kappos and Microsoft and IBM/Lenovo and the USPTO to repair all damages done to inventors*, to include refunding all "Electronic Filing Incentive" fees paid, as well as any penalties charged if the inventor did not pay the "Electronic Filing Incentive," as well as all other related costs incurred by inventors, to include paying lawyers to correct the cascade of problems that might have been experienced consequent to Kappos's "Electronic Filing Incentive." And, the USPTO must be forced reinstate any patent applications that might have been put into abandonment consequent to Kappos's "Electronic Filing Incentive," to include inventors who have tried to use the Linux operating system to file their patent applications, and would have been refused the opportunity to file electronically, because of Kappos's corruption of the USPTO for the benefit of Microsoft.

*NOTE: The term "inventor" is used herein, but it must be understood that any patent professionals or companies damaged by Kappos must have their damages repaired, as well.

THREATENING LETTER

Do not dare to send me a threatening letter through the US Postal Service, unless you pay me two hundred dollars (\$200), as follows:

- you will send me an e-mail indicating your desire to send a threatening letter
- If I agree to accept it, I will send an e-mail demanding \$200, as follows:
- you will send a certified check in the amount of \$200 in a non-security envelope, so that I can put it up to a light and determine that the envelope contains only a check, and nothing else
- I will deposit the check, and, once it has cleared my bank, I will advise you by e-mail to send your threatening letter
- you will get an equally contemptuous letter in return