



Kent Murphy <ptoattackdog@gmail.com>

Disbarment action against David Kappos for corrupting the USPTO

1 message

Kent Murphy <ptoattackdog@gmail.com> Thu, Nov 11, 2021 at 9:39 PM
To: glester@law.columbia.edu, DeansOffice@law.columbia.edu

Dear Dean Lester,

Copied below is a letter I sent to you on November 7, 2021. After further research, I found your e-mail addresses, so I thought I would send it to you in an e-mail, which enables me to provide links and attachments.

I appreciate that Mr. Kappos came to you - or maybe your predecessor - with accolades, but please consider this:

- Bill Clinton was disbarred *for making "misleading statements" under oath... [and to have] "undermined the integrity of the judicial system."* <https://www.latimes.com/archives/la-xpm-2000-may-23-mn-33092-story.html>
- Rudy Giuliani faces possible disbarment for *wasting the courts time and taxpayer money.* <https://mfi-miami.com/2020/11/rudy-giuliani-faces-disbarment-from-the-new-york-state-bar/>

Feel free to contact me if you want more information.

Best regards,
Kent Murphy

COPY OF LETTER SENT WITH LINKS AND ATTACHMENTS ADDED

72 Terrace Drive, Apt. B-6
Cameron, WV 26033

November 7, 2021

Gillian Lester, Dean
Columbia Law School
Jerome Greene Hall, Suite 802
435 West 116th Street
New York, N.Y. 10027-7297

Re: Disbarment action against David Kappos

Dear Dean Lester:

After lying in his confirmation hearing so that he could corrupt the US Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) for the benefit of IBM and Microsoft, David Kappos joined the pretentiously-progressive-posturing but corporation-serving Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP, and various other prestige-boosting positions have come his way - including a position at Columbia Law School - and a cynic might suspect that he is being compensated for corrupting the USPTO. He's not significant enough to get a book deal, so his payoff is laundered through positions. Yes, I'm a cynic, and that's what I believe.

If you read the enclosed Knowledge Ecology International (website: keionline.org) article entitled "David Kappos' war on poor people, and his indifference to broader public interest reforms," [see <https://www.keionline.org/22074>] by Harvard and Princeton-educated James Love (search "James Love KEI LinkedIn") [see <https://www.linkedin.com/in/james-love-2570424/>], you will discover that he concludes by saying this:

I could go on, mentioning all sorts of grievances, but the ones that are the most important to me are those associated with the complete indifference the impact of his actions on people who are both sick and poor, and live in developing countries, and his long war against the WIPO treaty for copyright exceptions for blind people. When you attack cancer patients and blind people in poor countries, you are a person of pretty poor judgement and/or low moral standards.

I want you to understand that I am a pro se inventor living in the United States, and I do not use Microsoft or IBM/Lenovo, and Kappos treats me with as much contempt as he does the people described by James Love. That is why I am doing this.

If you read the enclosed Politico puff piece entitled “Kappos: Patent system's reinventor,” [see <https://www.politico.com/story/2012/01/kappos-the-patent-systems-reinventor-071412>] you will see that it concludes with Kappos bragging that he was “brought...here to...break some glass.” New Yorkers know about falling broken glass on 911, and they know about the “broken window [glass] theory” that says that tolerating broken windows [glass] encourages more serious crimes. And, everybody knows that broken glass creates injuries, or worse, and breaking glass is vandalism, or worse. A Black man in New York who talked about breaking glass might worry about Rikers Island.

If you read my* New York bar complaint against Kappos [see pdf attached], I invite you to conclude that he must be disbarred. Having lied in his confirmation hearing, thereby being installed as Director of the USPTO, he – de facto – continued to advocate for his ostensible former employer – IBM – and, in so doing, corrupted the USPTO by erecting barriers to interstate commerce and denying American citizens the right to freely use a public service - the US Postal Service – thereby wasting the time and money of many many people attempting to enter the legal system, that being the filing of a patent application with the USPTO. Everybody knows – except Kappos, it seems – that the first to the Patent Office wins; but, Kappos doesn't want inventors to win, he wants them to pay Microsoft, and maybe IBM/Lenovo. And, please don't be like Marie Antoinette and say use a more costly Apple.

(* I studied accounting, and have been involved in the patent application process for decades. [See GWU attachment])

What can be allowed to happen to inventors can happen to all Americans:

- The fact that Americans were free to vote using the US Postal Service was vitally important in the 2020 elections, but Kappos doesn't think inventors are entitled to the same freedom.
- If you read the accompanying bar complaint, you must see the “Electronic Filing Incentive” as equivalent to a poll tax, and you must see the demand that patent application filers learn now to file on-line as equivalent to a literacy test.
- Kappos was in the Obama administration, and, judged by the company he keeps at Cravath, one might think that he is a Democrat, but, if and when the time comes that Kappos can do to the voting

system in America what he has done for the patent application system at the USPTO – corrupt it for Microsoft – we can expect to see American voters be discriminated against unless they pass the equivalent of a literacy test, or pay the equivalent of a poll tax.

Paper is the thin end of the wedge. I suppose you might not care about somebody who puts a patent application on paper. But, what about a pdf? Do you use pdfs? Would you be surprised that, with their success in penalizing patent application filers for using paper, the Kappos-corrupted USPTO is now planning to penalize patent application filers for using pdfs? See the accompanying “The problem with the USPTO’s proposed non-DOCX penalty.” [See <https://blog.oppedahl.com/?p=4623> , and much more here <https://blog.oppedahl.com/?p=7101>] Having penalized patent application filers for using the ubiquitous paper to force them to use Microsoft Windows, now the plot is to penalize patent application filers for using the ubiquitous pdfs to force them to use Microsoft’s proprietary DOCX software. And, do you think they will stop there? Why should they, now that Kappos has corrupted the USPTO for them? Will they develop a proprietary Microsoft keyboard that must be used, because there are newly-developed symbols or codes that they come up with and that must be used?

Obviously, I am not a lawyer, so I am unfamiliar with bar matters beyond preparing and filing this complaint, so you are invited to prosecute this complaint, or one that you might prepare yourself.

If you don’t want to disbar Kappos, you should dis-employ him.

There's a lot more information I can provide, if you inquire to ptoattackdog@gmail.com

Best regards,

Kent Murphy

P.S. I invite you to note that the form provided by the Attorney Grievance Committee of New York demands, in bold lettering, that a complainant must use the regular mail, saying this: "send **one copy** of your complaint...**by regular mail.**"

2 attachments

 **Complaint Against David J. Kappos - New York Bar - 10-18-21.pdf**
509K

 **GWU accounting - USPTO user pass.pdf**
174K