

Connect with your staff representatives

Info session: performance report







Isabelle



































Cláudia

Teresa

Dirk

Florence

Fausto

Roberto

Kinga

Lutz

Yoann

Joël

Tiago



Your appraisal (performance) report

- Documents your work for the Organisation
- Is used as basis in career¹, selection, and incompetence decisions
- It is an important document!

1: Recent appeal committee opinions on the reward exercise show that the report - and the objective data / figures underlying it - is the key element for steps/promotions (see e.g. RI/2020/043 – INAP).



- -> 15.02.2023 at the latest: Receipt of performance report;
- -> + 2 weeks from receipt: <u>Add comments</u> Disagree? <u>Ask for conciliation</u> by email to RO (reporting officer) and CSO (countersigning officer);
- -> Within 2 weeks of receiving conciliation report:

 Disagree? -> Reasoned objection to Appraisal committee by email to performancemanagementtool@epo.org;
- -> Within 90 days from final decision:

 <u>ILOAT complaint</u>: Review of the appraisal report as discretionary decision



Commenting – How to:

- Present the facts that in your opinion have been omitted or not presented correctly, join evidence as PDF where necessary
- Then <u>reason</u> why the assessment made in the report should in your opinion be different
- Draft your comments while <u>having the (potential) reader in mind</u>: not your LM, not your CSO, not someone from the Office, possibly an ILOAT judge¹
- Ask for help if necessary
- Do not wait until the last moment

¹ on the importance of commenting timely in the report: Judgment 4638, consideration 12: "The amount of comments made by the complainant in his writings in front of the Tribunal and of annexes produced contrasts with the laconism of those comments which he has presented in the framework of the appraisal procedure, the conciliation procedure and the objection procedure foreseen by the process internal to the Organisation." (translation by presenter).



Conciliation – How to: In the meeting:

- Present the facts that in your opinion have been omitted or not presented correctly, <u>bring</u> (written) <u>evidence</u> along
- Then <u>reason</u> why the assessment made in the report should in your opinion be different
- Be accompanied by a colleague or a staff representative



Appraisals Committee: no hearing, only written procedure, its 15 members are appointed exclusively by the President

- Committee's review is limited as to "whether the appraisal report was <u>arbitrary</u> or <u>discriminatory</u>"
- President (or his delegate) takes a final decision having regard to the assessment of the Appraisals Committee

Article 110a(3),(4),(5) ServRegs



Administrative Tribunal (ILOAT - Geneva)

 Full review of the appraisal report as discretionary decision is available:

"The Tribunal recognises that assessment of an employee's merit during a specified period <u>involves a value judgement</u>; for this reason, the Tribunal must recognise the <u>discretionary authority</u> of the bodies responsible for conducting such an assessment" [...]. The Tribunal will set aside a report only if there is a <u>formal</u> or <u>procedural flaw</u>, a <u>mistake of fact</u> or <u>law</u>, or <u>neglect of some material fact</u>, or <u>misuse of authority</u> or an <u>obviously wrong inference</u> drawn from the evidence [case law cited]."

Article 113 ServRegs



For the ongoing reporting exercise (2023)

- Raise any issue or difficulty to work towards your objectives with your LM, <u>immediately</u> and in <u>writing!</u>
- If necessary, do so repetitively
- Speak with your colleagues about objectives!
- If one or more people make your professional life difficult, seek help (staff representation, confidential counsellor)



Incompetence procedure

- Purpose is to "deal with and remedy cases of lack of ability and efficiency"
- To be distinguished from issues of unsatisfactory conduct disciplinary proceedings

Articles 52, 53a,b ServRegs and Circular 397



Incompetence procedure – process (1)

All is well! ☺

Staff member with an unacceptable performance

is to be provided with

- Description of unacceptable behaviour
- Notification of expected improvements
- Timeline for improvement
- Support

Performance returns

No return to satisfactory level of performance

<u>Three consecutive</u> reports indicating an unacceptable level of <u>overall</u> performance

+

Warning that a professional incompetence procedure may follow

PIP may be launched



Incompetence procedure – process (2)

Staff member in a Professional Incompetence Procedure (PIP) Case is referred to JC with proposal for downgrading

 JC issues a reasoned opinion within two months and proposes an appropriate measure

President decides

Downgrading

No measure (improbable...)



Incompetence procedure – process (3)

Downgraded
Staff member in a
PIP

Two further consecutive reports indicating an unacceptable level of overall performance

JC issues a reasoned opinion within two months and proposes an appropriate measure

President decides

Downgrading Dismissal

No measure

(improbable...)



Incompetence procedure – what to watch out for

- Record and report <u>in writing</u> to your LM any circumstance possibly affecting your performance
- If necessary, <u>repetitively</u>
- If medical issue : <u>Seek help + report to OHS</u>
- In an appraisal report: pay attention to the terms: "unacceptable", "(far) below expectations", "sub-average", "persistent difficulties/shortcomings"...
- Get in touch with Staff Representation as early as possible